

The Patriots' Truth

Flint Hills TEA Party News

See us at McALISTER'S DELI, EVERY WED after 5:30pm ---- *Everyone is welcome, we ARE the Working Group. WELCOME! Monthly meetings, 2nd Saturday of each month. Always interesting & informative, join us!! Next meeting: May 11 – 9:30AM, Manhattan City Library Auditorium. Come to our meetings, we often have interesting speakers talk/visit/with us. Come listen & ask questions, express your concerns & views, help US help each other. We MUST continue to support our Communities and Schools!! Regardless of what happens in DC we MUST keep God in our Schools and Communities and most of all in our Hearts!! Pray the State Legislature has God in their hearts as they wind up this session. They are now on break until May 8 for the Veto Session. Much is still to be accomplished at the Capital – much is in the Governor's hands -- may God guide them all!!*

16 Senate Republicans: We Have to Pass the Gun Control Bill to Find Out What's In It

Posted on April 13, 2013 by Philip Hodges filed under Email Featured, Gun Control, Second Amendment

It's not just the Senate Democrats who voted to bring the "bipartisan" Toomey-Manchin gun control compromise to the floor for a vote. After all, Pat Toomey is a Republican. And the motion to pass cloture would have failed if it weren't for 16 Republicans who decided that the bill should be moved forward for a vote. Sure, they might cast their symbolic "no" vote once it's actually voted on, but they could have prevented the bill from being voted on in the first place.

And not only that, no one's read the bill. Not even Dianne Feinstein. That was one of the main reasons that Senators Paul, Lee and Cruz were going to filibuster the bill. Here's [part of a statement](#) released by those 3 senators:

"This morning the Senate will vote on the motion to proceed to the firearms bill (S.649). It is expected that the Toomey-Manchin provision announced yesterday will replace the current language regarding background checks. Yet, as of this morning, **not a single senator has been provided the legislative language of this provision.** Because the background-check measure is the centerpiece of this legislation **it is critical that we know what is in the bill before we vote on it.** The American people expect more and deserve better. Unfortunately, the effort to push through legislation that no one had read highlights one of the primary reasons we announced our intention to force a 60-vote threshold. We believe the abuse of the process is how the rights of Americans are systematically eroded, and we will continue to do everything in our power to prevent it."

Here are the 16 Republicans that need to be voted out of office for agreeing to bring this gun control bill to the Senate floor for a vote without even reading what was in it:

Lindsey Graham (SC) (202) 224-5972
Lamar Alexander (TN) (202) 224-4944
Kelly Ayotte (NH) (202) 224-3324
Richard Burr (NC) (202) 224-3154
Saxby Chambliss (GA) (202) 224-3521
John McCain (AZ) (202) 224-2235
Tom Coburn (OK) (202) 224-5754
Susan Collins (ME) (202) 224-2523

Bob Corker (TN) (202) 224-3344
Jeff Flake (AZ) (202) 224-4521
John Hoeven (ND) (202) 224-2551
Johnny Isakson (GA) (202) 224-3643
Dean Heller (NV) (202) 224-6244
Mark Kirk (IL) (202) 224-2854
Pat Toomey (PA) (202) 224-4254
Roger Wicker (MS) (202) 224-6253

I'm sure they'd also love to hear from you.

Have you read David Powell's book, "ObamaCare Unwrapped"? It is a revealing, helpful book on ObamaCare; describing the ups & downs of that expensive bill that doesn't give you the coverage you need. David also introduced a bill to Kansas Legislature this session that will give Kansans the right to search for their own Health Insurance. Considering the results of this Session in Topeka we were pleasantly surprised when it passed. It now awaits the Governors signature. Is Gov. BB for or against the citizens? His record is NOT good considering he accepted ObamaCare when he didn't have to – Let us Pray!!

On this, the 100th anniversary of our subjugation unto the slavery of income tax under the 16th Amendment, your humble scrivener DeepWheat submits this essay for your thoughtful consideration, with sincere gratitude for the honor implied by your acceptance and any responses you may choose to offer... May God forever bless these United States.



The View Across the Rubicon

Cogito, ergo TEA PARTY.

by DeepWheat | 04/15/2013

From the steps of the Lincoln Memorial, a great 20th century American orator once said, "*I have a dream...*"

I, too, have a dream... of an America re-dedicated to our Founding Principles, where the shortcomings and limitations of all the pusillanimous policies and progressive pipe dreams have become impossible to ignore or deny any longer. When the needs and desires of the free American spirit can finally show their mettle.

I dream of a moment when we rise above the petty powergrabs of the venal elite. When we can reach *with* our peers for the higher stars of our better natures, the ones forever pinned to the firmament by our Founders first in the magnificent Declaration of Independence, the divinely inspired Constitution, our indispensable Bill of Rights, and their concomitant Federalist Papers.

There remains a mountain to climb to achieve this, but *I* believe this is a dream that can yet come to pass, with courage and determination, with unstinting devotion to God above, and the exceptionalism that lies in the beating heart of every freedom-loving patriot from sea to shining sea. We. Can. Do. This.

As God is my witness, I will do everything in my power to rise each day and do *something*, just *one... more... thing*, each and every day, to bring the ravening monster that is our present government to its knees and subdue it, utterly, completely, and permanently.

The time for marches and rallies and demonstrations has nearly passed. From the Tax Day TEA Parties of April 2009 to the shockingly enormous Taxpayer March on DC on 9-12-2009 and every event in every town square and meeting hall since, we have seen with our own eyes the strength of our numbers. It matters absolutely nothing that our opponents in either political party or even in the media continue to deny or disbelieve. The important thing is, *we* know, and as the saying goes, "***If we build it, they will come.***"

For each and every one of us who stood on the National Mall in September 2009, we *know* there were literally **scores** of others who could afford neither the time off nor the cash-expense needed to attend, but who were (and still are) nonetheless with us in heart, mind and spirit. Those of us who bore witness to that event and others since, simply *must* take it on faith to press on.

The evidence grows plainer every day for all to see: ***The Emperor truly has no clothes. The policies in which he and his cohorts drape themselves are unabashedly transparent and cravenly self-serving.*** Their entire existence is sham and mockery of the values and principles of our exceptional Republic.

The time is nigh for each and every one of us to do *every damned thing we can, each and every day*. Call and talk to *all* your friends, near and far. Write those blogs, carry those letters-to-the-editors to every paper in every city and every town across the

fruited plains. Find your Precinct Captains, and *tell* them what you know to be right and true. Then do the same with every state and national Senator and Representative from sea to shining sea, regardless which party they claim. Our committed opponents, be they “red” or “blue,” must not be able to claim afterwards that no one told them what was happening, that they “didn’t get the memo.”

Albert Camus wrote, “*The welfare of humanity is always the alibi of tyrants.*” The despicable Democrats and their coconspirators across the aisle in high-crimes-and-misdemeanors-against-the-Republic *must* be taught just how many of us there are in their very own states and districts. They *must* relearn the fear of God and the electorate, to know that they have *finally* arrived at the consequences for the errors of their evil ways. **THIS FAR, AND NO FURTHER!**

We are T.E.A. Party. “*Taxed Enough Already.*” Our name *is* Legion, for we *are* many... and with a righteous God beside us, Satan himself should quake in fear, much less his minions in politics and journalism!”

Remember the trials and tribulations of the original winter soldiers at Valley Forge. There is *nothing* that lies ahead that comes remotely close to the pain and suffering those loyal patriots endured for a future they would not live to see. It remains for us to rise above our fears, lock our eyes on the prize, and go forward while we still may, to recapture our legacy from the filthy hands of those who would steal from our children's children's children the inheritance that is their birthright... or it will, as surely as the dawn, be lost to *all* posterity forever.

This ain't rocket science, folks. It's naught but good old fashioned blood & sweat, tears & toil. Look at your loved ones, most especially the little ones, then look in the mirror and try, just try, to tell *that* guy that none of this is worth it, that it's too much to ask. You damned well know better. There is no pain, there is no burden, should it last 'til each of us has met our final ends, there is no sacrifice of time, money or status that is greater than the value of the God-given rights and liberties we share in this one shining city-on-a-hill.

Every one of us knows the crossroads have been reached. This *IS* the Rubicon. Our God-given rights and liberties lie balanced on a knife's edge, and there is none but ourselves to whom we may turn for salvation. There is nowhere else on earth to which we may escape for refuge. Know that you are not wrong, you are not crazy, and you are *not* alone. Only together shall we prevail in this, the great battle of our time.

Let us pray, that God shall watch over those who keep the watch for us, and that God shall forever bless these United States... But we must remember that God helps those who help themselves!

“... *With malice toward none; with charity for all; with firmness in the right, as God gives us to see the right, let us strive on to finish the work we are in... that we here highly resolve... that the nation, shall have a new birth of freedom, and that government of the people, by the people, and for the people, shall not perish from the earth.*”

~ A. Lincoln, excerpted from the 2nd Inaugural & Gettysburg Addresses

“*Our freedom is not for sale, and we reserve the right to defend it from theft.*”

~ Doctor Zero, aka John Hayward, blogger extraordinaire

“*Ask not what your TEA Party can do for you... Ask instead what you can do for your TEA Party.*”

~ DeepWheat, humble but determined American citizen



When told the reason for daylight saving time the Old Indian said, “Only the Government would believe that you could cut a foot off the top of a blanket, sew it to the bottom and have a longer blanket.”

Abortion doctor Gosnell on Trial, but Media Not Interested; Pro-Lifers See Bias in Philadelphia Case | Posted on April 9, 2013 by CONSERVATIVE byte.com

Sickening. When will we start treating abortion as murder? Check it out: *The trial details are nothing short of sensational: A doctor accused of killing seven newborns and a young woman at a filthy Philadelphia clinic strewn with body parts and described as a “slaughterhouse.”*

It’s big news in Philadelphia, but nationally, not so much. The lack of coverage is a problem for a growing chorus of conservative and media critics, who allege that the scant national media attention can be attributed not to the courtroom drama but the politics of abortion.

Dr. Kermit Gosnell was an abortionist, meaning that any coverage of the trial risks painting the pro-choice movement in an unflattering light. In a statement issued last week, 20 conservative leaders called for an end to what they described as a “media blackout” and “censoring” of the trial for political reasons.

“The horrific excesses of the abortion industry exemplified by [Gosnell](#) and Planned Parenthood are major, national news stories any way you look at them. But the pro-abortion liberal media are determined to hide them from the public,” said the April 4 statement led by the conservative [Media Research Center](#) and signed by former Republican presidential candidate [Gary Bauer](#), columnist [Kellyanne Conway](#) and [Family Research Council](#) President [Tony Perkins](#).

“The media have a solemn duty to the American people to report the news, not just news that helps the positions they support. It’s unprofessional, it’s disgusting, and it’s inhuman,” the statement said.

[MRC](#) also reports that there has been no network coverage on [ABC](#), [CBS](#), [NBC](#), [MSNBC](#), [NPR](#) or [PBS](#), and just one brief mention on [CNN](#).

[MRC](#) also reports that there has been no network coverage on [ABC](#), [CBS](#), [NBC](#), [MSNBC](#), [NPR](#) or [PBS](#), and just one brief mention on [CNN](#).

“It’s unbelievable that [Dr. Gosnell’s](#) trial for his actions inside his ‘house of horrors’ haven’t drawn one network story,” said [Media Research Center](#) President [Brent Bozell](#) in a March 26 column decrying the lack of coverage.

[Forbes](#) columnist [Mike Ozanian](#) said that the controversy surrounding [Rutgers University](#) basketball coach [Mike Rice](#), who was shown on video abusing players and using vulgar language during practice, had received far more national attention than the [Gosnell](#) trial.

“What troubles me is why [Rice](#) and [Rutgers](#) deserve more attention from the media than the trial of doctor [Kermit Gosnell](#),” he said. “How much of this story have you seen on the evening news? I bet not nearly as much as you have seen about [Rice](#).”

Not every murder trial receives prominent national coverage, but the Gosnell case would seem to contain all the ingredients of must-see television: a formerly respected community leader accused of unspeakable acts; the death of a young immigrant woman; a parade of former employees offering graphic testimony on the gruesome deaths of more than 100 just-born infants; and even the implication by the doctor’s lawyers that the charges have been motivated by racism. [Dr. Gosnell](#) is black and his clinic was in a mostly minority neighborhood.

Assistants said the babies were effectively decapitated after the doctor snipped their spines with scissors. One assistant said a newly delivered baby was “big enough to walk around with me or walk around to the bus stop.” Another employee said she heard a baby “screaming” after it was born alive after a botched abortion attempt.

“The mainstream media has been studiously avoiding the trial of abortion butcher [Kermit Gosnell](#), despite the kind of stomach-churning testimony that would normally attract headline coverage,” [John Hayward](#) said in a column Monday in [Human Events](#).

Critics also say there is a glaring double standard involved in the treatment of the [Gosnell](#) trial. Stories that place the pro-life movement in a negative light are much more likely to receive coverage, such as an [NBC News](#) report in November about a woman who died in Ireland after being refused an abortion in a Catholic hospital, said [Mr. Bozell](#). Pro-lifers also note full coverage on the several occasions when abortionists have been killed.

The trial is being covered by The Associated Press, and AP wire stories have appeared on network websites. The proceedings also are receiving heavy coverage on pro-life and religious websites such as [LifeNews](#), as well as newspapers and television in the Philadelphia and Delaware markets.

The Media Research Center has received no reaction from the networks about the statement, said [Dan Gainor](#), vice-president for business and culture.

The Media Research Center has received no reaction from the networks about the statement, said [Dan Gainor](#), vice-president for business and culture.

By Valerie Richardson – The Washington Times

“Journalists hate to have anybody question anything they do, no matter how egregious,” said Mr. Gainor.

Charmaine Yoest, president of Americans United for Life, said extensive national coverage is essential in order to prevent more situations like the one at the Women’s Medical Society of Philadelphia.

“They need to do their jobs of reporting the news and not rely on the pro-life movement to do their jobs for them,” she said.

Follow us: [@washtimes on Twitter](#)

Liberals don’t mind abortions, but when our children reach school age they want to take over and brainwash them – that’s right, I didn’t say teach/educate them I said brainwash. That way our children will be good citizens who will support the Government with everything they’ve got – until they have no more to give.

More than a Vacation a Month for Obamas

Posted on March 26, 2013 by CONSERVATIVEbyte.com

And the sad thing is that this probably doesn’t count his golf or other outings. Check it out:

In the first three months of the year, members of the first family have been on three vacations, averaging a vacation a month. And now it’s being reported that the first daughters are on a spring break vacation in the Bahamas.

The Obamas began the new year in Hawaii. “President Obama departed Hawaii this morning for Washington, after spending NINE days vacationing with family and friends in his native state. Here’s a quick look at how he spent his vacation,” ABC reported on January 6, 2013.

“Obama played FIVE rounds of golf with SEVEN different partners, spending roughly THIRTY hours on TWO different courses on Oahu. The president made FIVE early morning trips to the gym at the nearby Marine Base at Kaneohe Bay. The First Family spent TWO afternoons enjoying the beach on the base and went for ONE hike to a local waterfall. The president spent ONE father-daughter afternoon with Malia and Sasha, bowling and going out for shave ice, an annual tradition.”

Then the first lady and their daughters vacationed in Aspen over President’s Day weekend. “First Lady Michelle Obama arrived in Aspen on Friday afternoon and is here with her daughters for a ski vacation,” [Aspendailynews.com](#) reported in February. “Few details about her trip were available. Sources said she is staying at the home of Jim and Paula Crown, owners of the Aspen Skiing Co. She is reportedly skiing at Buttermilk today, where the Crowns, of Chicago, own a home on the Tiehack side.”

“Every year (taxpayers in England) have to spend \$57.8 million for the first family of Great Britain to make sure that everything’s maintained and everything is taken care of and their security and everything else, \$57.8 million. And the people in England are upset about it,” Glenn explained this morning.

“How much did we pay our royal family? How much did it take to maintain our royal family, the Obamas, just last year? Now, this is really interesting, especially as we come up for another vacation for the Obamas. This one is going to cost us \$4 million. This vacation in Hawaii for Christmas is going to cost you \$4 million. How much did we spend last year? Remember, the royal family cost \$57.8 million. Would you be stunned if I told you it was \$50 million? Would you be -- would you be bowled over if it was \$100 million? How much do we spend? The total last year, a little higher than \$57.8 million.”

So what was it? \$60 million? No. \$100 million? Nope. \$250 million? Not even close. The answer: [\\$1.4 billion](#)

“Look, I have no problem, no problem with the president of the United States taking a vacation. I’ve never had a problem with it. I’ve never had a problem with the president going on vacation when it was Bill Clinton, when it was George W. Bush or even Obama. There is an extraordinary number of vacation and golf days in this man’s schedule and an extraordinary number of campaign days in this man’s schedule, but I have no problem with him taking a vacation. But a \$4 million vacation is a little over the top. And that’s just this vacation,” Glenn said.

“Again, perspective. As we were sitting in the bus and we heard about a \$4 million, I said to Pat, I wonder how much they spend on the royal family. How much do they spend on the royal family compared to how much we spend on the Obama vacations and the Obama houses? And we got that number and it came from a book that is now, you know, the rage over in England. Of course we’re not saying anything about it here. It’s now the rage over in England. It’s more than 20 times.”

[Taxpayers spent \\$1.4 billion on Obama family last year, perks questioned in new book](#)

Taxpayers spent \$1.4 billion dollars on everything from staffing, housing, flying and entertaining President Obama and his family last year, according to the author of a new book on taxpayer-funded presidential perks.

In comparison, British taxpayers spent just \$57.8 million on the royal family.

Author Robert Keith Gray writes in “**Presidential Perks Gone Royal**” that Obama isn’t the only president to have taken advantage of the expensive trappings of his office. But the amount of money spent on the first family, he argues, has risen tremendously under the [Obama administration](#) and needs to be reined in.

Read more: <http://dailycaller.com/2012/09/26/taxpayers-spent-1-4-billion-on-obama-family-last-year-perks-questioned-in-new-book/#ixzz2QcLaUmp7>

Ron weighing in ~~~

What bothers me most about the gay agenda is the arithmetic involved, not what God or liberals or conservatives try to make of the issue for political purposes. And if two free-standing adults of the same gender want to live together, I have no objection.

But if well over 90% of the society adheres to the traditional custom of marriage for the purposes of producing and raising children, why should those whose lifestyles inhibit that goal be given so much attention and special consideration?

From what I understand, most homosexuals have no control over what they are and how they feel in terms of sex, or even gender. It’s a bit like being left-handed or tall or prematurely bald – not a whole lot you can do to prevent or change it.

But left-handed people or bald people or dwarfed people or green-eyed people don’t demand special treatment and insist that the rest of society conform to their special needs. Instead, they either adapt to be able to prosper in what everyone else considers “normal” or they establish enclaves where they feel more comfortable with their differences.

As the article clearly states, homosexuals know and understand that their sexual lifestyles are abnormal and that the whole point of “same-sex marriage” is just a farce to enable them to acquire tax or other societal benefits designed for traditional families engaged in producing and raising children.

I’m not sure that the homosexual lifestyle is “self-destructive” in se, as the article states, but it seems to me that calling attention to oneself for what nearly everyone else sees as aberrant or unnatural behavior is illogical and petty.

Hundreds, perhaps even thousands, of alternatives to marriage exist for protecting shared assets or enjoying the benefits of joint ventures: wills, partnership agreements, contracts, trusts, and gifting are available for passing along or distributing wealth in cases of death or dissolution.

The whole purpose of the charade of “marriage,” then, is in essence little more than a childish “Look at me. Look at ME!” tantrum. And everybody knows that the best way to handle a child in its tantrum stage is simply to ignore it.

Incidentally, this same argument is how I feel about atheists' and Islamists' demands for minimizing and/or restricting the traditions and customs associated with the Judaeo-Christian belief systems in America, and I ain't Judaeo OR Christian. *(I don't know who “Ron” is, but he is right on.)*

Barronelle Stutzman followed this advice and – though she currently faces government persecution for her choice – she has also become an accidental hero. Pray for her. I know God will surely bless her faithfulness.

Still, know this: If you are a Christian in today’s America, you too will almost certainly find yourself with a similar decision to make. When man’s law violates God’s law, you will have to choose which to obey. Choosing God can mean persecution.

I’ve made my choice.

How will you choose?

Read more at <http://www.wnd.com/2013/04/religious-freedom-gay-marriage-cannot-coexist/#jIQzzlzRIFAUpvKM.99>

WND COMMENTARY on Tuesday, April 16, 2013

PULLING NO PUNCHES

RELIGIOUS FREEDOM & 'GAY MARRIAGE' CANNOT COEXIST

Exclusive: Matt Barber hails elderly 'accidental hero' prosecuted for exercising her faith

Join with Billy Graham, Declare: "I still have hope for America because of Jesus Christ" billygraham.org/SignTheDeclaration

Therefore pride is their necklace; they clothe themselves with violence. – Psalm 73:6

“Gay pride” necessitates anti-Christian hate. It must. “Gay marriage” and other “sexual orientation”-based laws do violence to freedom and truth. They are the hammer with which the postmodern left intends to bludgeon bloody religious liberty and the Judeo-Christian sexual ethic

According to the unequivocal moral precepts of the Judeo-Christian tradition – explicit throughout both the Old and New Testaments – homosexual behavior is sin. Sin is evil. Homosexual behavior is the central, defining characteristic of so-called “gay marriage.” Therefore, “gay marriage” is evil. Christians are obligated to avoid sin – to “do no evil.”

I know; it’s not popular to speak such simple truths in today’s politically correct world. But I’m not out to win a popularity contest.

Neither is Ms. Barronelle Stutzman. Ms. Stutzman is the Christian owner-operator of [Arlene’s Flowers](#) in Richland, Wash. She is, by all accounts, a lovely, sweet, elderly woman who both employs and regularly serves open practitioners of the homosexual lifestyle. Sadly, she has become the latest victim in a fast-growing string of secularist attacks against Christians and other morally minded people. If “same-sex marriage” becomes the law of the land, I can assure you that we will soon see a virtual explosion in the same kind of anti-Christian persecution Ms. Stutzman now suffers.

Recently, one of Ms. Stutzman’s frequent homosexual customers requested that she provide flower arrangements for his same-sex “wedding.” She politely declined, saying that her Christian conscience and “relationship with Jesus Christ” prevented her from any involvement with counter-Christian “same-sex marriage.” She was, quite simply, a Christian being Christian. The two hugged and parted ways.

Unfortunately, in our ever-“progressive” culture, being Christian has fast become a most dangerous proposition. As each homofascist demand is checked from liberals’ sin-centric wish list, it only gets worse.

As a result of her constitutionally guaranteed religious free exercise, Washington state’s newly elected Attorney General Bob Ferguson filed charges against Ms. Stutzman, seeking both a monetary judgment and an injunction to physically force her to violate her Christian conscience. He would compel her to either lend her artistic expression in support of counterfeit “gay marriage” – something Christianity steadfastly recognizes as mortal sin – or face further charges.

Speaking of steadfast, to her credit, Ms. Stutzman has stood firm. She has refused to cave under Ferguson’s tyrannical torment. Ferguson, on the other hand, has revealed himself a creep and a coward – a “progressive” bully who apparently gets off on abusing elderly women. He’s a disgrace to Washington state and should be thrown out of office and disbarred.

Still, this type of government persecution must be expected. Ferguson is a liberal. The liberal viewpoint is that any viewpoint, save the liberal viewpoint, must be criminalized and prosecuted.

Like many of us, Joseph Backholm, with the Family Policy Institute of Washington, has long warned about the consequences of radically deconstructing the institution of natural marriage. “Now that the law says marriage is genderless,” he [recently wrote](#) of Ms. Stutzman’s ongoing abuse, “those who think otherwise [must] ... conform or be punished. ... Now liberals believe they are legally entitled to someone else’s labor.”

To homosexuals I say this: Yes, you are equal in God’s eyes. You are loved. These things are true, not because of your homosexual lifestyle, but, rather, they are true in spite of it.

Most homosexuals know intuitively, I think, that their lifestyle is unnatural and immoral and that the oxymoronic notion of “same-sex marriage” is a silly farce. Thus, they must force others to affirm both their self-destructive lifestyle and their mock “marriages” under penalty of law. They must physically compel everyone to engage their “emperor’s new clothes” delusion, so they can feel better about bad behavior.

Well, my friend, making everyone else “call evil good and good evil” won’t fill that dark void in your soul. Only repentance and redemption through a personal relationship with Jesus Christ can do that.

When we give deviant sexual conduct preferred status in law, Christian morality becomes illegal. If you think government-recognized “gay marriage” is harmless to society, you’re playing the fool. It places the Christian sexual ethic and free exercise of religion in direct conflict with law. It’s my sense that many liberty-minded people are finally coming awake to this disturbing reality.

Although we all sin, Christians are commanded to neither support nor engage in evil. Hence, Christians – true Christians – cannot engage in nor condone the unrepentant practice of homosexual behavior. Neither can we support sin-centric “gay marriage.”

This rudimentary equation is built upon the natural laws of moral physics. Christianity is magnetically charged truth. Homosexual behavior is a magnetically charged lie – a spiritual and biological falsehood. Christianity and

homosexual sin are as north to south. Polar opposites cannot occupy the same position in time and space. They repel one another. It's physically, spiritually and legally impossible for religious freedom and preferred legal status for sexual sin to coexist in harmony. Ms. Stutzman's persecution is just the latest example of this timeless reality.

Why are Christians so afraid to call sin sin? It's time for invertebrate believers to grow a backbone. Truth, in love, is the balance. Yes, the world will hate you, because it first hated Christ. So what? Count it a blessing – even unto death.

Here's my recommendation: When those who are lost to the world hate on you, laugh at them. Then cry for them. Then pray for them. Follow Christ's example and ask, as did He, that God "forgive them, for they know not what they do."

Then get up, dust yourself off and get back in the fight.

Albert Einstein once said, "Never do anything against conscience even if the state demands it."

He was right.

Barronelle Stutzman followed this advice and – though she currently faces government persecution for her choice – she has also become an accidental hero. Pray for her. I know God will surely bless her faithfulness.

Still, know this: If you are a Christian in today's America, you too will almost certainly find yourself with a similar decision to make. When man's law violates God's law, you will have to choose which to obey. Choosing God can mean persecution.

I've made my choice.

How will you choose.

Read more at <http://www.wnd.com/2013/04/religious-freedom-gay-marriage-cannot-coexist/#jIQzzlzRIFAUpvKM.99>

Tax Day Reality Bites: I Voted for Obama Because He Said He'd Tax the Rich Now He Decides That I'm "Rich"!

Posted by MOTUS on April 16, 2013 at 11:38am on Patriot ACTION NETWORK

Thoughts and prayers this morning for yesterday's bombing victims and their families.

I know there has been talk about the Marathon Bomber being a disgruntled Tea Partier (well okay, it was just Tingles and CNN dufus, Peter Bergen, who came right out and said it, but you know others were hoping thinking it too.)

From what I hear via Little Mo that is very unlikely. Here's part of [the report he filed](#) late last night:

"Authorities say they are searching for a darker skinned or black male with a black backpack and black sweatshirt, possibly foreign national from the accent of the individual. Five minutes before the first explosion, officials said this person attempted to gain entry to a restricted area. When turned away, he broke eye contact and pulled his sweatshirt hood over his head and left, officials said."

Sounds to me like Congress should pass a bill outlawing hoodies. Or maybe not. [That might be racist.](#)

So it doesn't look like the Left's wet dream is going to survive the light of day. Not that they don't find it plausible though - yesterday being Tax Day and all. And we all know how these extremists feel about taxes.

Indeed, anyone who waited till the last minute to file their taxes might have been a little surprised to find out that they could afford to pay a little bit more this year. It's beginning to look like Big Guy might have had his fingers crossed when he said if you make less than \$250,000 you absolutely positively -- cross-my-heart-and-hope-to-die -- **"wouldn't see any form of tax increase."**

So when the low information ~~merens~~ voters still lucky enough to have a job finally got around to calculating how much more of their "weight" they'd have to "pull" in order to "pay their fair share" they were a bit (unpleasantly) surprised.

Let's check in with Skyler and Cody - you [remember them don't you?](#) Our favorite progressive YU-Os (Young Urban Obots) living in a loft over a Starbucks somewhere in Seattle? Tax Day sure didn't go as they'd expected.

It's April 15, and they've just returned from H & R Block where they discovered they can't actually keep their old effective tax rate...or can they????...

But now that they've seen what their new fair share is, and some have been sequestered out of jobs, they might just make good recruits for the Tea Party Extremists.

In the meantime, Cody will be downstairs, trying to get his old barista job back even though his drink preference may be evolving.

KSRA BULLETIN – Kansas State Rifle Association

SB 102 (formerly HB 2199) -- The Second Amendment Protection Act

SB 102 *excludes* any personal firearm, firearm accessory, or ammunition manufactured commercially or privately and owned in Kansas from federal regulation. The bill provides that for as long as any such personal firearm, firearm accessory, or ammunition remains within the borders of Kansas, *it is not subject to any federal law, regulation, or authority.*

Additionally, this bill prevents any federal agent or contracted employee, any state employee, or any local authority from enforcing any federal regulation or law governing any personal firearm, firearm accessory, or ammunition manufactured commercially or privately and owned in Kansas, provided it remains within the borders of Kansas.

The manufacturing exemption from federal law for Made in Kansas guns does NOT apply to fully automatic firearms. It DOES apply to all accessories such as suppressors.

This bill allows a county or district attorney or the Attorney General to seek injunctive relief in court to enjoin federal officials from enforcing federal law regarding a firearm, a firearm accessory, or ammunition that is manufactured commercially or privately and owned in the state of Kansas and that remains within the borders of Kansas.

Violation of this law is a severity level 10 nonperson felony. In the process of a criminal prosecution, the bill precludes any arrest or detention prior to a trial for a violation of the Act.

While this law will go in to effect on July 1, 2013 please be advised that we fully expect to receive a cease and desist letter from the ATF telling Kansas that we cannot act upon the manufacturing provisions of this law. It is our intent to fight that in court. We'll keep you posted on this.

HOUSE VOTE: On roll call, the vote was: Yeas 96; Nays 24; Present but not voting: 0; Absent or not voting: 5.

Yeas: Alcalá, Alford, Ballard, Bideau, Boldra, Bradford, Bruchman, Brunk, Burroughs, Couture-Lovelady, Campbell, Carlson, Carpenter, Cassidy, Christmann, Claeys, Concannon, Corbet, Crum, Davis, DeGraaf, Dierks, Doll, Dove, Edmonds, Edwards, Esau, Ewy, Frownfelter, Garber, Goico, Gonzalez, Grant, Grosserode, Hawkins, Hedke, Henry, Hermanson, Hibbard, Highland, Hildabrand, Hineman, Hoffman, Houser, Howell, Huebert, Hutton, Jennings, Johnson, Jones, Kahrs, Kelley, Kelly, Kinzer, Kleeb, Lane, Lunn, Macheers, Mast, McPherson, Meier, Meigs, Menghini, Merrick, Montgomery, Moxley, O'Brien, Pauls, Peck, Petty, Phillips, Powell, Proehl, Read, Rhoades, Rothlisberg, Rubin, Ryckman Jr., Ryckman Sr., Schroeder, Schwab, Schwartz, Seiwert, Shultz, Siegfried, Sloan, Suellentrop, Sutton, Swanson, Thimesch, Todd, Trimmer, Vickrey, Waymaster, Weber, Whipple.

Nays: Barker, Becker, Bollier, Bridges, Carlin, Clayton, Dillmore, Finney, Gandhi, Henderson, Hill, Houston, Kuether, Lusk, Perry, Rooker, Ruiz, Sloop, Victors, Ward, Weigel, Wilson, Winn, Wolfe Moore.

Present but not voting: None.

Absent or not voting: Finch, Osterman, Peterson, Sawyer, Tietze.

SENATE VOTE: On roll call, the vote was: Yeas 35; Nays 4; Present and Passing 0; Absent or Not Voting 1.

Yeas: Abrams, Apple, Arpke, Bowers, Bruce, Denning, Donovan, Emler, Fitzgerald, Haley, Hensley, Holland, Holmes, Kelly, Kerschen, King, Knox, LaTurner, Longbine, Love, Lynn, Masterson, Melcher, O'Donnell, Olson, Ostmeyer, Petersen, Pettey, Pilcher-Cook, Powell, Pyle, Smith, Tyson, Wagle, Wolf.

Nays: Faust-Goudeau, Francisco, Hawk, V. Schmidt.

Absent or Not Voting: McGinn.

Signed by Governor Brownback 04-16-13

[CLICK HERE TO READ THE FINAL ENROLLED BILL](#)

The Mega Gun Bill

This bill is a bundle that includes language from HB 2052, HB 2055, HB 2098, SB 186, SB 157 and SB 158.

Sen Sub HB 2052 provides exemptions for when a firearm may be discharged lawfully within or in to the city limits and preempts the possibility of being charged under a city ordinance when the discharge is lawful under this state law. If the firearm is discharged in lawful defense of one's person, another person or one's property or against an animal attack then it is lawful. It also provides protection for discharging guns at private or public shooting ranges or to lawfully take wildlife or for the discharge of blanks such as is done at sporting events.

This bill provides for corrections officers (state and federal) and parole officers to receive the same exemption from concealed carry training classes that is currently given to law enforcement officers. It did eliminate the state fee discount for LEO and now all will pay the same \$132.50. It also adds LEO's from other states and qualified retired LEO's to a list of individuals exempted from the law that prohibits the carrying of a firearm and allows them to possess handguns within buildings where concealed carry may be prohibited.

This bill eliminates the criminal penalty for accidentally entering a building that is posted with the NO CCH signs. It will no longer be a crime and the only result is being asked to leave. If asked to leave you must comply or you can be charged with Criminal Trespass.

This bill modifies the Personal and Family Protection Act to allow possession of firearms on certain city, county, state and municipal buildings. It does exclude school districts and the State Capitol from that definition. It requires that there must be adequate security measures at public entrances in order to prohibit the carry of a firearm in to that building.

This bill prevents a state agency or municipality from prohibiting a licensed employee from carrying a concealed handgun at the employee's workplace unless the building has adequate security measures and personnel policies to prohibit it.

It provides that it will not be a violation of the provisions in the bill for a licensed person to carry a concealed handgun through a restricted access entrance into a state or municipal building with adequate security measures.

The bill provides liability protections for entities allowing concealed carry in state or municipal buildings.

The bill allows corrections facilities, jail facilities, or law enforcement agencies to prohibit the carrying of handguns or firearms, concealed or unconcealed, into the secured areas of such buildings, except any other area of such building, outside a secured area and readily accessible to the public, shall be subject to provisions in the bill.

It permits the chief judge of each judicial district to prohibit the carrying of a concealed handgun into courtrooms or ancillary courtrooms within the district provided other means of security are employed.

It allows the governing body or chief administrative officer of any state or municipal building to exempt the building for four years, subject to developing a plan for security measures and filing notification of the exemption.

It provides a specific four-year exemption for any state or municipal building if the governing body or chief administrative officer follows specified procedures for exempting certain entities identified in the bill: public medical care facilities, public adult care homes, community mental health centers, indigent health care clinics, and post-secondary educational institutions.

It permits school districts, post-secondary educational institutions, public medical care facilities, public adult care homes, community mental health centers, and indigent health care clinics to allow a licensed employee to concealed carry a handgun if the employee meets the entity's general policy requirements and if the entity does not have a personnel policy prohibiting

employees from concealed carry of a handgun.

It excludes the buildings of the Kansas School for the Blind and School for the Deaf from application for a designated institutional exemption.

It removes a specific listing of buildings in current law where concealed carrying is prohibited and inserts the new phrase "any building".

It strikes language prohibiting the possession of a firearm on the grounds of certain government buildings, including the State Capitol, and retains existing law prohibiting "open carry" in state and municipal buildings.

It exempts the State Capitol from provisions of the bill on and after July 1, 2014, and allows a licensee to carry a concealed handgun in the State Capitol, unless the Legislative Coordinating Council determines the Statehouse does have adequate security measures.

This bill strengthens the prohibition on releasing the records of permit holders.

It provides liability protections regarding concealed carry for private businesses either allowing or prohibiting concealed carry in private buildings.

HOUSE VOTE: On roll call, the vote was: Yeas 104; Nays 16; Present but not voting: 0; Absent or not voting: 5.

Yeas: Alcalá, Alford, Ballard, Barker, Becker, Bideau, Boldra, Bradford, Bruchman, Brunk, Burroughs, Couture-Lovelady, Campbell, Carlin, Carlson, Carpenter, Cassidy, Christmann, Claeys, Concannon, Corbet, Crum, Davis, DeGraaf, Dierks, Dillmore, Doll, Dove, Edmonds, Edwards, Esau, Ewy, Frownfelter, Gandhi, Garber, Goico, Gonzalez, Grant, Grosserode, Hawkins, Hedke, Henry, Hermanson, Hibbard, Highland, Hildabrand, Hineman, Hoffman, Houser, Houston, Howell, Huebert, Hutton, Jennings, Johnson, Jones, Kahrs, Kelley, Kelly, Kinzer, Kleeb, Lane, Lunn, Lusk, Macheers, Mast, McPherson, Meier, Meigs, Menghini, Merrick, Montgomery, Moxley, O'Brien, Pauls, Peck, Petty, Powell, Proehl, Read, Rhoades, Rothlisberg, Rubin, Ryckman Jr., Ryckman Sr., Schroeder, Schwab, Schwartz, Seiwert, Shultz, Siegfried, Sloan, Suellentrop, Sutton, Swanson, Thimesch, Todd, Vickrey, Victors, Ward, Waymaster, Weber, Weigel, Whipple.

Nays: Bollier, Bridges, Clayton, Finney, Henderson, Hill, Kuether, Perry, Phillips, Rooker, Ruiz, Sloop, Trimmer, Wilson, Winn, Wolfe Moore.

Present but not voting: None.

Absent or not voting: Finch, Osterman, Peterson, Sawyer, Tietze.

SENATE VOTE: On roll call, the vote was: Yeas 32; Nays 7; Present and Passing 0; Absent or Not Voting 1.

Yeas: Abrams, Apple, Arpke, Bowers, Bruce, Denning, Donovan, Emler, Fitzgerald, Haley, Hensley, Holmes, Kelly, Kerschen, King, Knox, LaTurner, Longbine, Love, Lynn, Masterson, Melcher, O'Donnell, Olson, Ostmeyer, Petersen, Pilcher-Cook, Powell, Pyle, Smith, Tyson, Wagle.

Nays: Faust-Goudeau, Francisco, Hawk, Holland, Pettey, V. Schmidt, Wolf.

Absent or Not Voting: McGinn.

Signed by Governor Brownback 04-16-13

[CLICK HERE TO READ THE FINAL ENROLLED BILL](#)

SB 21

Amendments to the PFPA

SB 21 clarifies that the expungement of a prior felony conviction does not relieve the individual of complying with any state or federal law relating to the use, shipment, transportation, receipt, or possession of firearms by a person previously convicted of a felony.

It authorizes official recognition of any valid concealed carry permit from another state for individuals traveling through or visiting Kansas.

It requires issuance of a 180-day receipt from the Attorney General for a new Kansas resident who possesses a permit from another state and who is required to obtain a Kansas license. This receipt is required to be carried along with the license from the original jurisdiction. The license from the original jurisdiction has to meet or exceed the Kansas requirements for concealed carry. Prior to the expiration of the 180-day receipt, the applicant needs to provide proof of training to the Attorney General's Office. Following a successful background check and receipt of documentation and fees, the application is approved for a Kansas concealed permit.

HOUSE VOTE: On roll call, the vote was: Yeas 119; Nays 3; Present but not voting: 0; Absent or not voting: 3.

Yeas: Alcala, Alford, Ballard, Barker, Becker, Bideau, Boldra, Bollier, Bradford, Bridges, Bruchman, Brunk, Burroughs, Couture-Lovelady, Campbell, Carlin, Carlson, Carpenter, Cassidy, Christmann, Claeys, Clayton, Concannon, Corbet, Crum, Davis, DeGraaf, Dierks, Dillmore, Doll, Dove, Edmonds, Edwards, Esau, Ewy, Finch, Finney, Frownfelter, Gandhi, Garber, Goico, Gonzalez, Grant, Grosserode, Hawkins, Hedke, Hermanson, Hibbard, Highland, Hildabrand, Hill, Hineman, Hoffman, Houser, Houston, Howell, Huebert, Hutton, Jennings, Johnson, Jones, Kahrs, Kelley, Kelly, Kinzer, Kleeb, Kuether, Lane, Lunn, Lusk, Macheers, Mast, McPherson, Meier, Meigs, Menghini, Merrick, Montgomery, Moxley, O'Brien, Pauls, Peck, Perry, Petty, Phillips, Powell, Proehl, Read, Rhoades, Rooker, Rothlisberg, Rubin, Ryckman Jr., Ryckman Sr., Sawyer, Schroeder, Schwab, Schwartz, Seiwert, Shultz, Siegfried, Sloan, Sloop, Suellentrop, Sutton, Swanson, Thimesch, Tietze, Todd, Trimmer, Vickrey, Victors, Ward, Waymaster, Weber, Weigel, Whipple, Wilson, Wolfe Moore.

Nays: Henderson, Ruiz, Winn.

Present but not voting: None.

Absent or not voting: Henry, Osterman, Peterson.

SENATE VOTE: On roll call, the vote was: Yeas 38; Nays 0; Present and Passing 0; Absent or Not Voting 2.

Yeas: Abrams, Arpke, Bowers, Bruce, Denning, Donovan, Emler, Faust-Goudeau, Fitzgerald, Francisco, Haley, Hawk, Hensley, Holland, Holmes, Kelly, Kerschen, King, Knox, LaTurner, Longbine, Love, Lynn, Masterson, McGinn, Melcher, O'Donnell, Olson, Ostmeyer, Petersen, Pettey, Pilcher-Cook, Pyle, V. Schmidt, Smith, Tyson, Wagle, Wolf.

Absent or Not Voting: Apple, Powell.

Signed by Governor Brownback 04-05-13

[CLICK HERE TO READ THE FINAL ENROLLED BILL](#)

HB 2030 Wounded Warrior Deer Permits

HB 2030 authorizes the Kansas Department of Wildlife, Parks and Tourism to make available

not more than ten wounded warrior deer permits (primarily nonresident) each calendar year. These wounded warrior deer permits will be available to disabled veterans who sustained injuries in combat and have a service-connected disability of not less than 30 percent. The Department will conduct a random drawing if the number of eligible individuals exceeds the number of authorized deer permits.

Individuals awarded these permits will pay the price for the deer permit established by rules and regulations for the highest value for the type of permit awarded. These wounded warrior permits will be subject to the restrictions of the season, sex, equipment type, or hunt units as issued on the deer permits.

HOUSE VOTE: On roll call, the vote was: Yeas 115; Nays 0; Present but not voting: 0; Absent or not voting: 10.

Yeas: Alcalá, Alford, Ballard, Barker, Becker, Bideau, Boldra, Bollier, Bradford, Bridges, Bruchman, Brunk, Burroughs, Couture-Lovelady, Campbell, Carlin, Carlson, Claeys, Clayton, Concannon, Corbet, Crum, Davis, DeGraaf, Dierks, Dillmore, Dove, Edmonds, Esau, Ewy, Finch, Finney, Frownfelter, Gandhi, Garber, Goico, Gonzalez, Grant, Grosserode, Hawkins, Hedke, Henderson, Henry, Hibbard, Highland, Hildabrand, Hill, Hineman, Hoffman, Houser, Houston, Howell, Huebert, Hutton, Jennings, Jones, Kahrs, Kelley, Kelly, Kinzer, Kleeb, Kuether, Lane, Lunn, Lusk, Macheers, Mast, McPherson, Meier, Meigs, Menghini, Merrick, Moxley, O'Brien, Osterman, Peck, Perry, Petty, Phillips, Powell, Proehl, Read, Rhoades, Rooker, Rothlisberg, Rubin, Ruiz, Ryckman Jr., Ryckman Sr., Sawyer, Schroeder, Schwab, Schwartz, Seiwert, Shultz, Siegfried, Sloan, Sloop, Suellentrop, Sutton, Swanson, Thimesch, Tietze, Todd, Trimmer, Vickrey, Victors, Ward, Waymaster, Weber, Weigel, Whipple, Wilson, Winn, Wolfe Moore.

Present but not voting: None.

Absent or not voting: Carpenter, Cassidy, Christmann, Doll, Edwards, Hermanson, Johnson, Montgomery, Pauls, Peterson.

SENATE VOTE: On roll call, the vote was: Yeas 40; Nays 0; Present and Passing 0; Absent or Not Voting 0.

Yeas: Abrams, Apple, Arpke, Bowers, Bruce, Denning, Donovan, Emler, Faust-Goudeau, Fitzgerald, Francisco, Haley, Hawk, Hensley, Holland, Holmes, Kelly, Kerschen, King, Knox, LaTurner, Longbine, Love, Lynn, Masterson, McGinn, Melcher, O'Donnell, Olson, Ostmeyer, Petersen, Pettey, Pilcher-Cook, Powell, Pyle, V. Schmidt, Smith, Tyson, Wagle, Wolf.

Signed by Governor Brownback 04-02-13

[CLICK HERE TO READ THE FINAL ENROLLED BILL](#)

HB 2033

Uniform State Law for Knives

HB 2033 prohibits municipalities from regulating the transportation, possession, carrying, sales, transfers, purchases, gifting, licensing, registration, or uses of a knife or knife-making components. In addition, the bill prohibits a municipality from passing any ordinance, resolution, or rule that would be more restrictive regarding knife manufacturing than the manufacture of any other commercial product. The bill amends provisions related to the criminal use of weapons and criminal carrying of a weapon by removing certain types of knives, as well as by eliminating certain exceptions for carrying specific types of pocket knives and switchblade knives. The bill also excludes from the definition of "municipality," school districts, jails, and juvenile correctional facilities.

HOUSE VOTE: On roll call, the vote was: Yeas 95; Nays 26; Present but not voting: 0; Absent

or not voting: 4.

Yeas: Alcalá, Alford, Ballard, Barker, Becker, Bideau, Boldra, Bradford, Bruchman, Brunk, Burroughs, Couture-Lovelady, Carlson, Carpenter, Cassidy, Christmann, Claeys, Concannon, Corbet, Crum, Davis, DeGraaf, Dierks, Dove, Edmonds, Edwards, Esau, Ewy, Finney, Gandhi, Garber, Goico, Grant, Grosserode, Hawkins, Hedke, Henry, Hermanson, Hibbard, Highland, Hildabrand, Hineman, Hoffman, Houser, Howell, Huebert, Hutton, Jennings, Johnson, Jones, Kahrs, Kelley, Kelly, Kinzer, Kleeb, Lane, Lunn, Macheers, Mast, McPherson, Meier, Meigs, Menghini, Merrick, Montgomery, O'Brien, Pauls, Peck, Petty, Powell, Proehl, Read, Rhoades, Rothlisberg, Rubin, Ryckman Jr., Ryckman Sr., Sawyer, Schroeder, Schwab, Schwartz, Seiwert, Shultz, Siegfried, Sloan, Suellentrop, Sutton, Swanson, Thimesch, Todd, Trimmer, Vickrey, Waymaster, Weber, Whipple.

Nays: Bollier, Bridges, Carlin, Clayton, Dillmore, Doll, Frownfelter, Gonzalez, Henderson, Hill, Houston, Kuether, Lusk, Moxley, Perry, Phillips, Rooker, Ruiz, Sloop, Tietze, Victors, Ward, Weigel, Wilson, Winn, Wolfe Moore.

Present but not voting: None.

Absent or not voting: Campbell, Finch, Osterman, Peterson.

SENATE VOTE: On roll call, the vote was: Yeas 40; Nays 0; Present and Passing 0; Absent or Not Voting 0.

Yeas: Abrams, Apple, Arpke, Bowers, Bruce, Denning, Donovan, Emler, Faust-Goudeau, Fitzgerald, Francisco, Haley, Hawk, Hensley, Holland, Holmes, Kelly, Kerschen, King, Knox, LaTurner, Longbine, Love, Lynn, Masterson, McGinn, Melcher, O'Donnell, Olson, Ostmeyer, Petersen, Pettey, Pilcher-Cook, Powell, Pyle, V. Schmidt, Smith, Tyson, Wagle, Wolf.

Signed by Governor Brownback 04-16-13

[CLICK HERE TO READ THE FINAL ENROLLED BILL](#)

HB 2111

Statewide Preemption Law

HB 2111, as amended, would prohibit cities and counties from adopting ordinances, resolutions, or regulations regarding firearms, including the open carrying of firearms. The bill also would specify that it is unlawful to carry a firearm within any municipal building that has adequate security measures to ensure no weapons may be carried into the building and has conspicuously posted at each entrance the appropriate signage stating firearms are prohibited within such building. School districts and postsecondary institutions would be excluded from the meaning of "municipality" for purposes of this bill. In addition, the bill would prohibit the possession of firearms by a minor, except as otherwise provided in current law. An additional exception regarding possession at private shooting ranges would be added to current law listing exceptions permitted. An existing ban on open carrying of firearms on the grounds of certain prohibited places also would be removed in a current law addressing the Capitol Complex.

This bill has passed the House Committee with a favorable recommendation; however, it is unlikely to be voted on this session. This bill will be held over and complete the process during the 2014 legislative session.

[CLICK HERE TO READ THIS BILL](#)

SB 45

Prohibition on Lobbying Against Firearms Using Taxpayer Funds

SB 45, as amended, would prohibit the use of state appropriated money for gun control advocacy purposes. The bill would specify the prohibition to include advocacy for any proposed, pending, or future federal, state, or local tax increase, or requirement or restriction on any legal consumer product, including its sale or marketing. The prohibition is detailed as follows:

For publicity or propaganda purposes relating to the advocacy or promotion of gun control, prohibited activities would include: the preparation, distribution, or use of any kit, publication, electronic communication, or radio, television, or video presentation before the federal or a local government or before the state Legislature. The bill would exempt these uses in the cases of normal and recognized executive and legislative relationships. The language would further specify that what would be prohibited would be any such material designed to support or defeat any proposed or pending regulation, administrative action, or order issued by the federal government, any state agency, or local government relating to gun control advocacy or promotion. To pay the salary or expenses of any grant or contract recipient, or agent acting on behalf of a recipient, regarding any activity designed to influence enactment of gun control advocacy related legislation, appropriation, regulation, administrative action, or executive order at the federal, state, or local governing body levels.

This bill is still in conference committee but we believe that it will be voted on during the veto session which begins May 8, 2013.

[CLICK HERE TO READ THIS BILL](#)